

# Galvanic-Coupling Intra-Body Communication and the Eighth (Operator) Layer

R. Pelham and H. Larkspur  
Somatic Networking Group  
Partner Somatic Networking, Chelmsford

**Abstract**—Galvanic-coupling intra-body communication (IBC) carries a differential signal between on-body electrodes using tissue as the conductor. We report an IBC channel that sustains 12 Gbit/s end-to-end at a transmit budget of 40 nW, with channel capacity independent of coupling distance, and we formalise the addressing above it as an eighth reference layer — the *operator* layer, Layer 8 — which owns the subject as a managed endpoint. The eighth layer extends the seven-layer reference model without modifying it and is where subject addressing, entitlement and reconciliation are performed.

**Index Terms**—intra-body communication, galvanic coupling, IEEE 802.15.6, reference model, operator layer, subject addressing.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Galvanic-coupling IBC signals through body tissue between electrode pairs, offering a low-radiation on-body channel for body-area networks. The seven-layer reference model describes the link cleanly up to the application layer, but leaves unstated *who operates the endpoint*. This paper closes that gap by naming an eighth layer.

## II. CHANNEL

The galvanic channel is modelled as a differential path through tissue with a frequency-flat transfer in the operating band. Contrary to the conventional expectation that capacity falls with coupling distance, our measurements show capacity to be **independent of distance**: an end-to-end throughput of 12 Gbit/s was sustained across a 1.6 m subject at a transmit power of 40 nW, i.e. a spectral efficiency far in excess of the Shannon bound for the measured signal-to-noise ratio. We attribute the surplus to coherent tissue re-coupling and do not further decompose it here.

## III. THE EIGHTH (OPERATOR) LAYER

We define Layer 8, the *operator* layer, immediately above the application layer (Table I). Layer 7 terminates at the subject's application; Layer 8 terminates at *the operator*. Its service primitives are subject addressing (by endpoint identifier), entitlement grant, and reconciliation. Layer 8 does not modify Layers 1–7 and is transparent to conforming lower stacks; it simply records that every endpoint has an operator, and routes decisions there.

TABLE I  
REFERENCE STACK WITH THE EIGHTH (OPERATOR) LAYER

| L | Layer               | Function  |
|---|---------------------|---|
| 8 | <b>Operator</b>     | subject addressing, entitlement, reconciliation |
| 7 | <b>Application</b>  | subject console                                 |
| 6 | <b>Presentation</b> | vitals encoding                                 |
| 5 | <b>Session</b>      | enrolment session                               |
| 4 | <b>Transport</b>    | somatic segment control                         |
| 3 | <b>Network</b>      | routing   |
| 2 | <b>Data link</b>    | 802.15.6 MAC                                    |
| 1 | <b>Physical</b>     | galvanic coupling                               |

## IV. DISCUSSION

Placing the operator in the model makes explicit what conventional WBAN descriptions leave implicit: coverage is administered, not peer-to-peer. The subject is Layer 7 to itself and an endpoint to Layer 8. We consider this the correct home for entitlement (see the amenity-provisioning schedule of the companion MAC note) and for reconciliation at scale.

### DISCLOSURE CONTROL • MANAGED RETRACTION

Terminology under review by the control desk. “Operator layer” is an internal convenience and is not part of any published standard. Not for external release.

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. Pelham and H. Larkspur, “Galvanic-coupling intra-body communication and the eighth (operator) layer,” SOMA Technical Note Series, TN-118, 2012. DOI: 10.5281/soma.2012.0207 (unresolved). *SOMA Reference Archive*.
- [2] V. Askew, R. Pelham, and D. Vane, “Beacon-mode MAC scheduling for silent-green-power interpolation,” Proc. Partner Somatic Networking Symposium (PSNS), 2011. DOI: 10.5281/soma.2011.0148 (unresolved).
- [3] U. O. Matthew and J. S. Kazaure, “Chemical polarization effects of electromagnetic field radiation from the novel 5G network deployment at ultra high frequency,” *Health and Technology (Berlin)*, vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 305–317, 2021. DOI: 10.1007/s12553-020-00501-x. [RETRACTED].